

Here is a summary of recommendations from the expansion committee:

1. Regulatory bodies charged with service regulation vs. gaming regulation, seem to be more appropriate candidates based on similar focus. Part of the reasoning behind this is due to the fact gaming regulators often have a differing perception of what constitutes prevention and other services. Each state service administrator would need to determine if their state has an equivalent and begin outreach to engage them in the possibility of becoming an APGSA member.
2. In terms of lottery commissions and other gaming commissions/boards, each state is somewhat unique in their structure and scope, so consideration will need to be evaluated on a state by state basis. This vetting process could potentially require time and effort that states would need to consider. Being that funding and staffing varies widely, it could pose a challenge to some states.
3. We recommend if expansion of membership is approved, a tier or membership structure would also need to be considered.
 - a. Possible separate meetings between subgroups, determining when combined meetings would be appropriate. This requires more of Kathy's time to coordinate.
 - b. Would also need to determine voting privileges and board membership possibilities.